1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
5	x
6	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.,
7	Plaintiff,
8	-against-
9	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
10	Defendant.
11	x
1 2	Virtual Zoom Deposition
13	March 6, 2023
	8:30 a.m.
14	
15	
16	VIRTUAL VIDEO DEPOSITION of JEAN SARGENT,
17	in the above-entitled action, held at the
18	above time and place, taken before Jeremy
19	Richman, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary
2 0	Public of the State of New York, pursuant to
21	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and
2 2	stipulations between Counsel.
2 3	
2 4	* * *
2 5	
	Da 1
	Page 1

```
1
2
     APPEARANCES:
3
        MCCAULLEY LAW GROUP
4
              Attorneys for Plaintiff
5
              180 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 601
              Chicago, Illinois 60601
6
        BY: JOHN VAN HOVEN, ESQ.
7
8
        COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
9
              Attorneys for Defendant
              850 Tenth Street, NW
              Washington, D.C. 20001
10
              ANDREW LAZEROW, ESQ.
        BY:
11
                  -and-
              1999 Avenue of the Stars
12
              Los Angeles, California 90067
              AUSTIN MARTIN, ESQ.
        BY:
13
14
     PRESENT:
15
     COREY WAINAINA, Videographer
     BILL CRADDOCK, Concierge
16
17
1.8
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                             Page 2
```

1	J. SARGENT	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good	08:29:08
3	morning again, everyone. We are	08:32:15
4	going on the record at 8:32 a.m.	08:32:17
5	Eastern time on Monday, March 6,	08:32:21
6	2023. Please note that this	08:32:25
7	deposition is being conducted	08:32:28
8	virtually. Quality of recording	08:32:29
9	depends on the quality of camera	08:32:32
10	and internet connection of	08:32:33
11	participants. What is seen from	08:32:37
12	the witness and heard on screen is	08:32:38
13	what will be recorded. Audio and	08:32:41
14	video recording will continue to	08:32:41
15	take place unless all parties agree	08:32:41
16	to go off the record.	08:32:41
17	This is media unit one of the	08:32:41
18	video recorded deposition of Jean	08:32:56
19	Sargent in the matter of Da Vinci	08:32:57
20	and Surgical Instrument Service	08:33:02
21	Company, Inc., versus Intuitive	08:33:04
22	Surgical, Inc. This was filed in	08:33:08
23	the United States District Court	08:33:09
24	for the northern district of	08:33:11
25	California, San Francisco division.	08:33:13
		Page 3

1	J. SARGENT	
2	send out instruments to third	14:58:24
3	parties at different rates, depending	14:58:26
4	upon whether the manufacturer is	14:58:28
5	providing the service, or whether a	14:58:29
6	third party is providing the service?	14:58:31
7	A. No.	14:58:32
8	Q. Okay. So what's the nuance	14:58:32
9	there between trocars having only	14:58:35
10	Johnson & Johnson as the vendor who can	14:58:38
11	perform the service, as opposed to the	14:58:41
12	electrophysiology diagnostic catheters	14:58:44
13	that have two vendors, to your	14:58:47
14	knowledge, two third-party vendors?	14:58:50
15	A. Most often it's due to the	14:58:51
16	education of the staff. The staff has	14:58:55
17	been informed that an EP catheter is	14:58:58
18	expensive, and that the institution	14:59:03
19	wants to have those reprocessed for	14:59:06
20	cost savings matters, and they'll make	14:59:09
21	sure they do that, so an	14:59:10
22	electrophysiology catheter is \$1,500, a	14:59:13
23	trocar is maybe \$50.	14:59:22
24	So there's a big price	14:59:25
25	difference there, and there's a bigger	14:59:26
		Page 273

1	J. SARGENT	
2	cost savings difference. That's why	14:59:26
3	there's much more of a focus on the EP	14:59:29
4	catheters.	14:59:33
5	Q. Okay. So if I heard you	14:59:34
6	right before, just correct me if I	14:59:35
7	misheard this, you said that hospitals	14:59:39
8	can usually save 50 percent on the	14:59:43
9	electrophysiology diagnostic catheters	14:59:47
10	by sending them out for refurbishment;	14:59:50
11	is that right?	14:59:54
12	A. Yes.	14:59:55
13	Q. And how much is a new	14:59:56
14	electrophysiology diagnostic catheter	15:00:01
15	today?	15:00:03
16	A. I believe it's around \$1,500.	15:00:03
17	Q. And so what you're saying,	15:00:08
18	then, is that these companies that	15:00:12
19	offer the refurbishment of those	15:00:14
20	instruments are offering to do that	15:00:16
21	refurbishment for \$750; is that right?	15:00:19
22	A. Yes.	15:00:26
23	Q. And can electrophysiology	15:00:26
24	diagnostic catheters be sent out as	15:00:29
25	many times as the hospital wants to	15:00:33
		Page 274

1	J. SARGENT	
2	send out to do the refurbishment?	15:00:35
3	A. Yes, they're not counted.	15:00:37
4	Q. Okay. So why so is it	15:00:38
5	true that is there any reason that	15:00:43
6	hospitals purchase new ones?	15:00:45
7	A. The hospital will purchase	15:00:46
8	new when they have not turned in enough	15:00:50
9	product to be purchased to fill the	15:00:54
10	shelves.	15:00:58
11	Q. Am I right that you would	15:01:04
12	expect the penetration rates for the	15:01:05
13	reset service of SIS to be lower than	15:01:08
14	the penetration rate for	15:01:11
15	electrophysiology diagnostic catheters?	15:01:13
16	A. I would expect it to be	15:01:17
17	similar because of cost.	15:01:19
18	Q. Right, the cost savings here	15:01:22
19	we're talking about for	15:01:25
20	electrophysiology diagnostic catheters	15:01:26
21	is higher than the cost savings that	15:01:28
22	Mr. Johnson identified, right?	15:01:31
23	A. Slightly.	15:01:37
24	Q. Do you know what the cost of	15:01:38
25	any of a new EndoWrist is?	15:01:41
		Page 275